PCT WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 5 : G06F 13/00 — A1

(11) International Publicati n Number: WO 93/19422

(43) International Publication Date: 30 September 1993 (30.09.93)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US93/02839

(22) International Filing Date: 25 March 1993 (25.03.93)

(30) Priority data:
07/857,578 25 March 1992 (25.03.92) US

(71) Applicant: ENCORE COMPUTER U.S., INC. [US/US]; 6901 West Sunrise Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33313 (US).

(72) Inventors: KENT, Steven ; 1171 NW 133rd Terrace, Sunrise, FL 33323 (US). SCHELONG, Steven ; 780 W. Plantation Circle, Plantation, FL 33324 (US).

(74) Agent: FLEIT, Martin; Keck, Mahin & Cate, P.O. Box 06110, Chicago, IL 60606-0110 (US).

(81) Designated States: AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, CA, CH, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, HU, JP, KP, KR, LK, LU, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, PL, RO, RU, SD, SE, SK, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published
With international search report.

(54) Title: FIBER OPTIC MEMORY COUPLING SYSTEM



(57) Abstract

A system for coupling sets of plurality of nodes (6) that are memory coupled to pass write only data memory to memory via a data link (4) that further includes an optical fiber controller (1) coupled to each data link (4). Each controller (1) is interconnected through fiber (4) f r high speed data transfers from one set of nodes (6) to another. The controller (1) is capable of connection implementing three and four cable interfaces. The data is transmitted through the fiber (4) serially but the controller (1) is adapted to receive parallel data and convert to serial form and vice versa.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo			SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KZ	Kazakhstan	SN	Senegal
CM	Cameroon	LI	Liechtenstein	SU	Soviet Union
CS	Czechoslovakia	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	VN	Viet Nam
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia		

FIBER OPTIC MEMORY COUPLING SYSTEM

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to a novel fiber optic memory interconnection for linking special memory busses of processing nodes and has particular application for real time data processing systems operating at large distances.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Systems for updating memories in coupled nodes are known from U.S. Patent No. 4,991,079 the content of which is here incorporated by reference and from U.S. Serial No. 07/403,779 filed September 8, 1989, which is a continuation of Serial No. 06/880,222 filed June 30, 1986, now abandoned, the content of which is here incorporated by reference, all of which are commonly owned with the present application. Such systems use two ported memories and are used to transfer writes to one memory in one node automatically and at high speed to memory in other nodes with the intervention of a CPU. Such systems, however, have a distance limitation of about 120 feet and eight nodes. The present invention is an improvement that enables such systems to be connected over a distance. The present state of the art allows for connections of 3 kilometers and up to ten kilometers with a high speed data interface.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a means for connecting such memory coupled processing systems over a large distance and provides for high speed data transfers between the systems, copying data from the memory of a node in one system to the memory of a node in another system.

Other and further advantages of the present invention will become readily evident from the following description of a preferred embodiment when taken in conjunction with the appended drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates the system of the present invention;

Figure 2 illustrates the fiber to memory coupled system controller (FMC);

Figure 3 illustrates the FMC to memory coupling bus interface;

Figure 4 illustrates the method of handling a memory write transfer by the FMC;

Figure 5 illustrates an example of address translation as performed by the present invention;

Figure 6 illustrates the FMC separated into data path quadrants;

Figure 7 illustrates Quadrant 0 of the FMC;

Figure 8 illustrates Quadrant 1 of the FMC;

Figure 9 illustrates Quadrant 2 of the FMC;

Figure 10 illustrates Quadrant 3 of the FMC;

Figure 11 illustrates a parallel/serial latch used in the present invention;

Figure 12 illustrates the basic packet format;

Figure 13 illustrates the format for a data packet;

Figure 14 illustrates the format for a general purpose async data packet;

Figure 15 illustrates the format for a data packet of a MCS-II multidrop console;

Figure 16 illustrates the format for an interrupt packet;

Figure 17 illustrates the mode of operation of the FMC for the handling of async serial data;

Figure 18 illustrates the mode of operation for handling special async data pass through;

Figure 19 illustrates handling of interrupts by the FMC;

Figure 20 illustrates an example of network linking clusters of nodes;

Figure 21 illustrates a secondary backup high speed link for the system;

Figure 22 illustrates internal loopback for memory write transfers;

Figure 23 illustrates external loopback for memory write transfers;

Figure 24 illustrates memory coupling bus loopback;

Figure 25a illustrates internal loopback for general purpose async data;

Figure 25b illustrates external loopback for general purpose async data;

Figure 25c illustrates port-port loopback;

Figure 26 illustrates cluster FMC error handling;

Figure 27 illustrates hub FMC error handling;

Figure 28 illustrates typical configurations of the present invention;

Figure 29 illustrates a star configuration of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

This invention relates to a high speed data interface system using fiber optic memory interconnection as shown in Figure 1. This interface system connects memory coupled systems over distances up to 10 kilometers. Each memory coupled system comprises a data link or memory coupling bus 5 to which up to eight nodes 6 are coupled each comprising a processor, I/O, a two or more ported memory and a processor to memory bus. Write/read sense controllers couple the busses and the memory so writes only are sensed and reflected to the memories of other nodes without CPU intervention. This is described in detail in the patent and application noted above, both of which are here incorporated by reference. The bus 5 of each memory coupled system is connected to a fiber-to-memory coupling system controller (FMC) 1. Each FMC has both an input and output port for connection with another FMC. The input port 2 is for receiving transmitted data from another memory coupled system and the output port 3 is for transmitting data to an ther memory coupled system. The transmission of the data is through fiber optic cables 4.

The Fiber Optic Memory Coupling System (FOMCS) also pr vides

the capability for nodes on separate MC busses to exchange async serial data across the fiber link and for a node on one MC bus to interrupt a node on another. Each FMC supports 8 general purpose async ports and 16 interrupt lines (8 input and 8 output). The multidrop console link incorporated in the MCS-II fourth cable is also supported. These features are intended to allow remote booting of nodes across the fiber and to provide a means of synchronizing the actions of nodes.

Throughout this document, the term "MCS cluster" (or simply "cluster") is used to refer to a MC bus and its attached nodes and FMC. In configurations of only two or three clusters, pairs of FMCs are used to directly connect MC busses (see Figure 28). In a configuration of more than three clusters, a FOMCS hub is used to connect all the clusters in a star configuration (see Figure 29).

The configuration programming link shown in Figures 28 and 29 is used to establish the operational mode of each FMC. FMCs which are not directly connected to the programming link receive programming information via packets sent over the fiber link. The FMC 1 can be seen in more detail in Figure 2. The FMC includes a Receive Data Path 7, an output latch 9, a receive FIFO 11, a receive Error Detection Circuit 13, two receive latches 15 and 17, a receiver 19, an input latch 10, a hit and translation RAM 12, a transmit FIFO 14, a transmit Error Detection Circuit 16, two transmit latches 18 and 20 and a transmitter 22.

The FMC 1 consists of four main sections: the memory coupled system 5 interface, the data paths (Rx and Tx) 7 and 8, the high speed serial data link interface 4, and the microprocessor. These areas are delineated using dashed lines in the functional block diagram (Figure 2). The Fiber Transition Module (FTM) connects to the FMC high speed serial link interface. The FTM is a known and conventional piece of hardware and serves to connect the electrical signals to corresponding light signals and vice versa.

The Memory Coupling (MC) bus interface is the FMC's link to the Memory Coupling System (MCS) and the nodes on that bus. The FMC implements a three-cable, 90-signal interfac for those MCS

networks that use a standard MC bus (24-bit addresses), and a four-cable, 120-signal interface for those MCS networks that use the 28-bit addresses provided in MCS-II. Provision is also made in the four-cable interface for eventual support of 32-bit addresses. The FMC supports all the address lines defined in the four-cable interface; however, in an environment where addresses are a full 32 bits, the FMC will only reflect into and out of the first 256 megabytes of memory.

The FMC appears as a typical MCS node on the bus, using one of the nine bus IDs (0 - 8) available in MCS or MCS-II. The MCS used by the FMC is set during initialization by the configuration programming link.

Details of the FMC interface to the MC bus are illustrated in Figure 3. The FMC MC bus interface receives bus transfers by latching the memory address, memory data, flag bits and parity on the rising edge of DATA VALID (assuming DATA VALID is not being driven by the FMC itself). The two different bit counts for the address, flags and parity lines reflect differences between the three cable MCS bus and the four cable MCS-II bus. The smaller counts apply to the three cable bus. Note that 32 bits of address are indicated for the four cable MCS-II bus rather than 28. As mentioned earlier, signals to support the additional four address bits are reserved in the fourth cable for future expansion. One bit of odd parity is provided for each byte of address and data, resulting in the seven or eight parity bits shown in Figure 3.

The flag bits qualify the received transfer. For memory write transfers, one flag bit (referred to as the "F-bit") indicates whether the memory write is to a byte location in memory as opposed to a halfword or word. The other two flag bits, which are only present in the MCS-II bus, differentiate between memory write transfers and other types of transfers. While only memory write transfers appear on an MCS-II bus in a cluster, the MCS-II bus in the FOMCS hub is used to distribute interrupt, async and other types of data in addition to mem ry writ traffic.

As indicated in Figure 3, th address, flags and parity are

treated as 32, 3 and 8 bit quantities, respectively, when received by the FMC. If a three cable MCS bus is connected to the FMC, the receivers for the eight most significant address bits, the two extra flag bits and the eighth parity bit are disabled. Zeros are put in the MC input latch for the missing address and flag bits and a one is inserted for the missing parity bit. In a four cable environment, 32 bit addresses are received but the FMC will discard any received memory write transfer in which the most significant four address bits are not zero.

If receipt of a transfer causes the FMC Tx FIFO 14 to become half full, the FMC will drive GLOBAL BUSY on the MC bus to prevent overflow. GLOBAL BUSY is deasserted when the Tx FIFO 14 becomes less than half full. The CONTROL BUSY signal is only present in the MCS-II bus and is only utilized in the FOMCS hub. If receipt of a non-memory-write type transfer causes the FMC FIFO used to hold such transfers to become half full, the FMC will drive CONTROL BUSY to prevent overflow. When the FIFO becomes less than half full, CONTROL BUSY is deasserted.

Before the FMC can generate a transfer on the MC bus, it must first acquire the right to access the bus. To accomplish this, the FMC asserts the REQUEST line on the bus which corresponds to the MCS ID the FMC has been programmed to use. The FMC then monitors the corresponding GRANT line. When the bus arbiter asserts the GRANT line, the FMC drives the memory address, memory data, flag bits and parity on the bus. The FMC's MCS ID is also driven on the node id lines of the bus. On the next rising edge of the VALID ENABLE signal, the FMC drives DATA VALID. (The VALID ENABLE signal is a free running clock generated by the bus arbiter to synchronize the actions of nodes on the bus.)

Note that the MC output latch illustrated in Figure 3 only supplies 28 bits f the memory address rather than 32. The driver inputs for the remaining four address bits are tied to ground (i.e., the bits are forced to be zeros).

If receipt of a memory write transfer packet causes the FMC Rx FIFO 11 to become half full and MC bus burst requ st mode is

enabled, the FMC will drive BURST REQUEST. Asserting the BURST REQUEST signal, which is only present in the MCS-II bus, causes the bus arbiter to enter a mode in which only the FMC is granted access to the bus. After asserting BURST REQUEST and delaying long enough to guarantee propagation of the signal to the arbiter, the FMC deasserts GLOBAL BUSY. If no other node on the bus is asserting GLOBAL BUSY, the arbiter will begin issuing grants to the FMC. If one or more other nodes is asserting GLOBAL BUSY, the arbiter waits for the busy condition to clear and then begins issuing grants to the FMC. Note that the FMC can safely deassert GLOBAL BUSY even if it is unable to accept more transfers from the bus because the arbiter will only grant bus access to the FMC.

When the FMC has unloaded enough packets front its Rx FIFO 11 to cause the fill level to drop below half full, BURST REQUEST is deasserted and the arbiter returns to the normal "fairness" arbitration scheme. If the FMC is unable to accept more transfers from the bus, it will assert GLOBAL BUSY before deasserting BURST REQUEST.

If the FMC's Tx FIFO is less than half full, the FMC will keep BURST REQUEST asserted for 8 MC bus cycles and then release it for 16 cycles and then assert it for 8, release it for 16 and so on until the Rx FIFO fill level drops below half full. If both the Rx and Tx FIFOs are half or more full, the FMC will assert BURST REQUEST continuously until enough packets have been unloaded from the Rx FIFO to cause the fill level to drop below half full. Once BURST REQUEST is deasserted, the arbiter returns to the normal "fairness" arbitration scheme. If the FMC is unable to accept more transfers from the bus, it will assert GLOBAL BUSY before deasserting BURST REQUEST.

Support for burst request mode in the FMC is enabled or disabled via a configuration programming command. Only one FMC on a given MC bus can have burst request mod enabled but at least ne FMC in every FMC-to-FMC link must have the mode nabled to ens e reliable operation. Note that enabling burst request mode in t e FMC only means that the FMC is able to drive BURST REQUEST on the

bus. For burst request mode to be useful, the four cable MCS-II environment with Memory Coupling Controllers (MCC's) as the bus arbiter/terminators is required. MCC's provide bus termination and arbitration in a conventional manner as known from the previously noted patent and application and are sometimes referred to as reflective memory controllers.

The FMC Rx and Tx data paths 7 and 8 move data between the MCS interface and the high speed serial data link. They also interface to the microprocessor allowing asynchronous data, interrupt transfers and flow control information to move between clusters.

Figure 4 illustrates the manner in which the FMC processes MCS memory write transfers. As indicated, not all transfers are transmitted as packets over the high speed serial data link. Only those transfers which correspond to memory writes into selected regions of memory are transmitted over the high speed link. Transfers which do not fall within these selected regions are simply discarded.

In the other direction, packets containing memory write transfers are received over the high speed link and decoded by the FMC. Note that in the Rx path 7 there is no hit/translation RAM so all memory write packets received over the high speed link cause memory write transfers to be generated on the MC bus. When a packet has been received which represents a write into memory, the FMC requests the use of the MC bus and when the request is granted, generates a memory write transfer on the bus.

In the Tx path 8, the regions of memory to be reflected are defined during configuration programming of the FMC. During programming, the total memory address space is viewed as a sequence of 8K byte (2K word) blocks. Multiple regions as small as 8K bytes can be defined. Any number of regions, segregated or concatenat d, up through the entire address range may be established.

Another feature of th FMC which is illustrated in Figure 4 is memory address translation. Prior to packetizing a memory write transfer and transmitting it ver the high speed link, the

memory address is modified. MCS physical addresses are 24 bits long (MCS-I) or 28 bits long (MCS-II). A MCS physical address is translated by using the most significant 11 bits (MCS-I) or 15 bits (MCS-II) to address a hit/translation RAM on the FMC. The value read from the RAM is the most significant 15 bits of the new address.

Note that the least significant 13 bits of a memory address are unaffected by the translation process. Thus, 8k byte blocks are mapped from the address space of the source MC bus to that of the destination MC bus and vice verse. As illustrated in Figure 5, this feature is very useful because it allows clusters to share memory regions which reside in the different places in each cluster's physical address space.

The contents of the hit/translation RAM are established

during configuration programming of the FMC. The size of memory addresses (i.e., 24 or 28 bits) on the source MC bus is also established during configuration programming. Each location in the hit/translation RAM represents a 8K byte block of memory and contains a hit bit and the 15 bit translation value. If the hit bit is set, memory writes into the 8K byte memory block are reflected over the high speed link. If the bit is reset, such memory writes are ignored.

In the context of the MCS star network configuration, the FMC region selection and address translation features can be used to segregate the MCS clusters connected to the hub into groups such that memory write traffic is only reflected within a group, not between groups. Note that if an administrative system (i.e., not one of the nodes in the star network) is used to program the FMCs, a secure network can be achieved in which cluster groups can coexist but not affect each others memory. If total isolation of groups is not desired, overlapping regions can be used.

The FMC microprocessor has the ability to interject data into and remove data from both the Tx and Rx data paths 7 and 8. Such data is referred to as control data to distinguish it from memory write transfers. General purpose async data, MCS-II multidrop console async data and interrupt pulses are all treated as control data.

When the FMC receives data over the general purpose async ports, it forms the data into packets and injects those packets into the transmit data stream sent over the high speed link. When a packet of async data is received by the FMC, the bytes are broken out of the packet and sent over the appropriate general purpose async port. MCS-II multidrop console data is handled in a similar fashion.

When an enabl d input interrupt line is pulsed, the FMC generates a packet that is sent over the high speed link. Upon receipt of an interrupt packet from the serial data link, the FMC pulses the appropriate utput int rrupt lin .

Other control transfers includ c nfigurati n programming

information, high availability messages, error indications, and reset indications.

Flow control in a MCS network is accomplished by means of f w control bits in the packets sent from FMC to FMC and by means o MC bus busy signals. Internal to the FMC, memory write data transfers and other types of data transfers (i.e., async, interrupt, multidrop, etc.) are handled separately so that flow control may be applied to non-memory write transfers without affecting the memory write traffic. Each packet sent from FMC to FMC contains two flow control bits, one to cause the receiving FMC to cease or resume transmission of memory write transfer packets and another to cause it to cease or resume transmission of other types of packets. This notion of two separate data streams also applies to the hub MC bus where there are separate bus busy signals, one for memory write transfers and another for all other types of transfers.

An additional type of flow control is burst request mode. Burst request mode is necessary to ensure that lock ups do not occur on FMC-to-FMC links. When link utilization is high in both directions, the potential exists for a FMC-to-FMC link to lock up in a condition in which both FMCs are asserting busy on their respective MC busses. Because the busy condition on the MC busses prevents the FMCs from generating bus transfers, the FMCs are unable to reduce the fill levels of their Tx FIFOs 14 and will therefore never deassert bus busy.

Burst request mode alleviates this problem by allowing a FMC to unload transfers from its Rx FIFO 11 while ensuring that the FMC will not have to accept additional transfers into its Tx FIFO 14. This means the FMC can accept more memory write transfer packets from the remote FMC which in turn allows the remote FMC to unload its Tx FIFO 14 and eventually clear th busy c ndition on the rem t MCS bus. Clearing the busy c nditi n allows the r mote FMC to unload its Rx FIFO 11. The rem te FMC can then acc pt more mem ry writ transfer packets which allows the local FMC to unload its Tx FIFO 14 and cl ar the busy c ndition on the local MC bus.

As shown in Figure 6, the FMC data paths are logically subdivided into quadrants. In quadrant 0, MC bus transfers are received and moved to the Tx FIFO 14. The Tx FIFO 14 serves as the boundary between quadrants 0 and 2. In quadrant 2, transfers removed from the Tx FIFO 14 are packetized and transmitted over the high speed serial link 4.

Packets are received over the high speed link 4 in quadrant 3 and the packet contents are moved to the Rx FIFO 11. The Rx FIFO 11 serves as the boundary between quadrants 3 and 1. In quadrant 1, information removed from the Rx FIFO 11 is used to generate transfers on the MC bus.

An important feature of the FMC design, particularly from a diagnostic perspective, is that the latches in each quadrant can be accessed in both a parallel and serial fashion. During normal operation of the FMC, data moves through the latches using the parallel interface. The alternate serial interface allows the microprocessor on the FMC to shift data serially into and out of the latches.

Figure 7 shows a detailed view of quadrant 0. Processing begins in quadrant 0 when the control logic 25 detects that the MC input latch 10 has been loaded. The input latch is unloaded and odd parity is computed on the address and data. The computed parity is then compared to the received parity. At the same time, the most significant address four bits are checked to see if any of them are non-zero.

While the parity and address checks are taking place, 15 bits of the address are used to address the hit/translation RAM 12. (If the address came from a three cable MCS bus, the upper four of the 15 bits used to address the RAM will be zeros.) The least significant 15 bits of the 16 bit value read from the RAM become the new, r translated, address bits. The most significant bit of the value read from RAM is the window hit bit.

The destination of the MC transfer is d termined by the parity and address checks, the hit bit, and the flag bits received with the transfer. If any of the most significant four address bits

is non-zero, the transfer is discarded. If the received parity does not equal the computed parity, the transfer is clocked into the microprocessor interface FIFO 21. The transfer is also placed into the micro FIFO if the parity is good but the flag bits indicate a control type transfer (which only occurs in the MCS hub). The destination of a memory write type transfer with good parity depends on the setting of the hit bit. If the hit bit is reset, the transfer is simply discarded. If the hit bit is set, the memory data, translated memory address and flag bits are clocked into the Tx FIFO 14.

The contents of the hit/translation RAM 12 are initialized by the FMC microprocessor. To change a location in the RAM 12, the microprocessor first puts the new value into the loading buffers 24. The microprocessor then causes GLOBAL BUSY to be asserted on the MC bus and waits long enough for any transfer in progress to pass through the MC input latch 10. Then, the microprocessor causes the hit/translation RAM 12 to be written with the value from the loading buffers. GLOBAL BUSY is subsequently deasserted.

Figure 8 shows a detailed view of quadrant 1. Quadrant 1 processing begins when the control logic 25 detects that the MC output latch 9 is empty and either the Rx FIFO 11 is not empty or the micro interface 21 is requesting use of the latch 9. If there is something in the FIFO 11 and the micro interface 21 is not requesting, the FIFO 11 is read and parity is computed on the memory address and data. The data, address, flags and computed parity are then clocked into the MC output latch.

The micro interface 21 is only used when a FMC in a FOMCS hub needs to distribute interrupt, async of other control information to the other FMCs in the hub. If control busy is not asserted on the hub bus, the micro interface logic 21 requests use of the MC utput latch 9. When the latch 9 is available, the control data is serially shifted int th latch 9. Odd parity f r the transfer is computed by the microprocessor and shifted into the latch 9 following the data.

Figure 9 shows a detailed view of quadrant 2. Processing

begins in quadrant 2 when the control logic detects that the Tx latches 18 and 20 are empty and finds that the Tx FIFO 14 is not empty or that the microprocessor has loaded the micro interface latch. If the micro latch has been loaded, the contents of the latch are transferred to the Tx latches 18 and 20. Of the 72 bits transferred from the micro latch, 64 are also clocked into a pair of EDC (error detection code) generators 16a and 16b. The generated eight bit EDC and the 72 bits from the micro latch are clocked into the Tx latches 18 and 20. The contents of the Tx latches 18 and 20 are then passed to the high speed link serial transmitter 22 in two 40 bit transfers.

If the micro latch is empty but the Tx FIFO 14 is not, the memory data, address and flags are read from the FIFO 14. An additional nine bits are generated by the control logic to make a total of 72. Again, an EDC is generated on 64 of the 72 and an 80 bit packet is clocked into the Tx latches 18 and 20. The contents of the Tx latches 18 and 20 are then passed to the transmitter 22.

If the control logic detects that either the Rx FIFO 11 or the micro FIFO in quadrant 3 are half full, flow control flag bits are asserted in the 80 bit packet clocked into the Tx latches 18 and 20. If no packet is available to clock into the latches, the control logic 25 causes a special flow control packet to be clocked into the Tx latches 18 and 20 for transmission to the remote FMC. Separate flow control bits are defined for the Rx FIFO 11 and the quadrant 3 micro FIFO so that the flow of memory write and non memory write packets can be throttled separately. Once the FIFO(s) become less than half full, a packet is sent to inform the remote FMC that it can resume transmission of one or both types of packets.

Figure 10 shows a detailed view of quadrant 3. Quadrant 3 processing begins when the first 40 bits of a pack t are received over the high speed serial link. Control logic 25 causes the 40 bits t be clocked into a staging latch 15. When the rest f the packet arrives, the entire 80 bit packet is clock d int the Rx latch 17. From the Rx latch 17, 64 bits of the packet are moved

to a pair of EDC generators/checkers 13a and 13b. The received EDC is compared to that generated and an indication of the outcome is provided to the control logic.

The destination of the contents of the packet depend on the packet type bits examined by the control logic and the result of the EDC check. If the EDC check fails, the packet contents are moved to the micro interface FIFO 23. The packet contents are also moved to the micro FIFO 23 if the packet type flags indicate that the packet contains async, interrupt or other control information. Otherwise, the memory address, data and flags are moved to the Rx FIFO 11.

Among the 12 packet bits examined by the control logic 25 are the flow control bits, one for throttling memory write packet transmission and the other for throttling non memory write packet transmission. A set flow control bit in a received packet causes the FMC to cease transmission of the corresponding type of packet until a packet is received with that flow control bit reset.

Figure 11 shows a block diagram of the AMD 29818 latch used to implement the MC input and output latches 9 and 10 and the Rx latches 15 and 17 and Tx latches 18 and 20 on the FMC. In the FMC design, the normal data path through these latches is the parallel one from the D input through the pipeline register 29 to the Q output. The alternate serial path is accessible by the FMC microprocessor and is primarily used for diagnostic purposes. Note that the mux 30 (which is controlled by the MODE signal) allows either the contents of the shadow register 31 or the D input to serve as the input for the pipeline register 29. Also, note that the output of the pipeline register 29 is feed back into the shadow register 31. Thus, with appropriate hardware control, data can enter the latch in serial and exit in parallel or enter parallel and exit in serial.

These f atures ar exploited by the FMC design which allows th microprocess r t serially load a latch in one quadrant, move the data along the n rmal parallel path from that latch to a latch in another quadrant and then serially r ad the contents of the

destination latch. By comparing the data loaded into the first latch with that read back from the second, the microprocessor can determine if the data path is functional.

In the FOMCS architecture, the high speed serial link is implemented with a transmit/receive pair of Gazelle Hot Rod chips. The Gazelle Hot Rod transmitter chip converts 40-bit parallel data into serial data that is transmitted over a fiber or coax link. At the remote end of the link, the data is reconverted to the original parallel data by a Hot Rod receiver chip. Over this link, the FMCs exchange information in the form of 80-bit packets. The Gazelle transmitter sends each 80-bit packet as two 40-bit data frames. When no packet is available to send, the transmitter sends sync frames to maintain link synchronization.

The data on the serial data link is 4B/5B NRZI (Non-Return to Zero, Invert on ones) encoded. This allows the receiver to recover both data and clock signals from the data stream, precluding the need for a separate clock signal to be sent along with the data. Data rates as high as 1 Gbps (one billion bits per second) are supported.

Transmission error detection is accomplished via an eight bit error detection code (EDC) which is included in each packet. Of the 72 remaining bits in the packet, only 64 are included in the EDC calculation. The eight unprotected bits are the first four bits of each of the 40-bit halves of the packet.

The basic packet format is shown in Figure 12.

Bits 0 and 40 are used to distinguish the two 40-bit sections of the packet. When receiving a packet, a FMC always expects the first bit of the first 40-bit section to be a zero and the first bit of the second 40-bit section to be a one.

The BSD and BSC bits (bits 1 and 41, respectively) are used by the transmitting FMC to throttle transmissions by the FMC r ceiving the packet. The BSD bit is used to tell the receiving FMC to cease or resume transmissi n of memory write data packets; the BSC bit is used t tell the receiving FMC to cease or resume transmission of control packets. The bits are s t to tell the

receiving FMC to cease the associated type of transmissions; they are reset to tell the receiving FMC to resume transmissions.

The TYP bit (bit 4) indicates the packet type. If the bit is reset, the packet contains a memory write transfer. If the bit is set, the packet is designated as a control packet. Control packets are used to transfer async data, interrupt data and any other type of information which FMCs must exchange.

The VAL bit (bit 5) indicates whether the cross-hatched portions of the packet (bits 6 - 39 and 44 - 71) actually contain any valid information. If the VAL bit is set, the receiving FMC will consider the information in the cross-hatched portions to be valid. If the VAL bit is reset, the receiving FMC will only pay attention to the flow control information encoded in the packet (i.e., the settings of the BSD and BSC bits). When a FMC does not have any data to send, it will periodically transmit packets with the VAL bit reset and the flow control bits set or reset as appropriate.

As mentioned earlier, bits 0 - 3 and bits 40 - 43 are not included in the EDC calculation. This means that the BSD bit and/or the BSC bit may be in error in a received packet and no EDC error will be detected. However, even if an invalid flow control indication is received and acted upon, the next packet received will almost certainly correct the problem.

The shaded portions of the packet (bits 2 - 3 and bits 42 - 43) are reserved. The convention of shading reserved portions of packets is followed throughout this document.

Bits 72 - 79 contain the EDC which is computed on bits 4 - 39 and bits 44 - 71. The EDC is an 8-bit check byte generated according to a modified Hamming Code which will allow detection of all single- and double-bit errors and some triple-bit errors. Because f the NRZI encoding scheme used by the Gazell H t R d chips, noise on the high speed serial link medium will cause sequential double-bit err rs which the receiving FMC will be able to detect by c mparing the EDC in the packet to that c mputed during receipt f the packet.

The memory write transfer packet is used by the FMC to transmit a memory address and associated data over the high speed link. The address and data represent a memory write transfer which the FMC received from the MC bus. The format of the packet is shown in Figure 13.

The FBT bit (bit 7) is the F-bit associated with the memory write. The F-bit is set if the memory write transfer represents a write to a single byte of memory. The F-bit is reset if the transfer represents a write to a half-word or word.

Note that if the memory address is a 24-bit address, bits 44-47 of the packet will contain zeros.

The general purpose async data packet format is shown in Figure 14.

The packet can contain up to five bytes of async data. The first four bytes are placed in bits 8-39 with the first byte in bits 8-15, the second in 16-23 and so on. The fifth byte is placed in bits 64-71. The receiving FMC determines the actual number of data bytes in the packet by checking the Byte Count field (bits 45-47).

The Destination Cluster field (bits 48 - 55) contains the address of the MCS cluster for which the packet is ultimately intended. The FMC in the destination cluster uses the Destination Port field (bits 56 - 63) to determine which general purpose async port to use when transmitting the async data decoded from the packet.

The BRK bit (bit 44) indicates that the originating FMC received an async break indication. When the BRK bit is set, the packet does not contain any async data (i.e., the Byte Count field contains a zero). Upon receipt of a general purpose async packet with BRK set, the FMC in the destination cluster flushes its output buffer for the destination port and generates a break n that port.

The MCS-II multidrop console data packet format is illustrated in Figure 15.

The packet can contain up to four bytes f async data. The four bytes are placed in bits 8 - 39 with the first byte in bits

8 - 15, the second in 16 - 23 and so on. The receiving FMC determines the actual number of data bytes in the packet by checking the Byte Count field (bits 45 - 47).

The Destination Cluster field (bits 48 - 55) contains the address of the MCS cluster for which the packet is ultimately intended. The contents of this field are only meaningful if the BRD bit is reset.

The Source Cluster field (bits 56 - 63) contains the address of the cluster in which the originating FMC resides. Because multiple packets are required to send a multidrop message, a FMC in a cluster may be receiving parts of messages from two or more clusters at the same time. The source cluster field in the packets allows the receiving FMC to segregate message pieces based on source cluster and thus properly reconstruct the messages.

The SOM bit (bit 64) indicates whether the async data in the packet represents the start of a multidrop message or not. If the SOM bit is set, the packet contains the first 1 - 4 bytes (depending on the Byte Count) of a multidrop message. If the SOM bit is reset, the packet contains data from within the body of a message.

The EOM bit (bit 65) indicates whether the async data in the packet represents the end of a multidrop message or not. If the EOM bit is set, the packet contains the last 1 - 4 bytes (depending on the Byte Count) of a multidrop message. If the EOM bit is reset, at least one more packet of data from the message will follow.

The BRD bit (bit 67) is set if the packet is to be broadcast throughout the FOMCS network to all clusters. If the BRD bit is set, all FMCs in a FOMCS hub will forward the packet to their respective remote clusters. All cluster FMCs receiving such a packet will accept it (assuming th y have multidrop support enabled).

The int rrupt pack t f rmat is shown in Figur 16.

The Destinati n Clust r fi ld (bits 48 - 55) c ntains the address of the MCS cluster for which th packet is ultimately

intended. The FMC in the destination cluster uses the Destination Line field (bits 56 - 63) to determine which output interrupt line to pulse.

A Motorola 68000 microprocessor on the FMC provides the configuration system interface as well as data management for all transfers other than memory write transfers. This includes all asynchronous data, interrupts and error logging. It also provides diagnostic capabilities driven through the configuration programming interface.

Four Signetics 68681 DUARTs on the FMC provide support for the exchanges of async serial data between the nodes and/or devices in separate MCS clusters. The async support is designed in such a way that communicating entities need not be aware that the async data is actually traveling over a high-speed fiber or connection. From the perspective of the entitles, communication is not functionally different from the case where the nodes and/or devices are directly connected via RS-232 cables. No special protocol information has to be inserted by the communicating entities into the async data stream to allow the data to move through the MCS network. Figure 17 illustrates the handling of async serial data by a FMC in a cluster.

As async serial data is received from a node or device, the FMC packetizes the data and transmits the packets over the high speed serial link. In addition to async data, each packet contains an address which facilitates routing of the packet through the MCS network. In the other direction, the FMC receives and decodes packets of async data from the high speed link. The address in the packet is discarded and the async data is passed to the node or device.

The address in an async packet is designed to allow routing of the packet through a MCS hub. To accomplish this, the address c nsists of two fields, a destination cluster field and a destination port field. The destination cluster field indicates the cluster t which the pack t is to be routed. The destinati n port field indicates which async link of the FMC in the destination

cluster that the data is intended for. The destination FMC validates the address when it receives the packet. If the destination cluster address does not match the local cluster address, the packet is discarded.

The FMC maintains a list of eight async addresses, one for each general purpose async port. When async data is received from one of the eight ports, the FMC looks up the async address for that port and includes it in the packet(s) sent over the high speed link. Thus, there is a static connection between each general purpose async port of a FMC and an async port of a FMC in some other cluster.

The contents of the list of async addresses and the local cluster address are established during configuration programming of the FMC. The physical characteristics of the async links (i.e., baud rate, character length, etc.) are also established during configuration programming.

In a MCS hub, the FMCs are programmed to operate in a special async data pass-through mode. This mode of operation is illustrated in Figure 18. Async packets received over the high speed link are decoded by the receiving FMC and sent over the hub MC bus to the other FMCs in the hub. The address field inserted by the FMC at the originating cluster is passed along over the hub MC bus as well as the async data. Each FMC in the hub which receives the address and async data from the MC bus compares the destination cluster field to the address of the cluster at the remote end of its high speed link. If a match occurs, the FMC builds and transmits an async packet over the high speed data link.

The remote cluster address used by a FMC in the hub to perform the routing function just mentioned is established during configuration programming. Since the async links of a FMC in a hub are n t c nnected and are ignored by the FMC, n async address list or async link physical characteristics can be programmed.

To accommodate the case wh re the high spe d link f a FMC in one hub is directly c nnected t a FMC in another hub (rather than to a MCS cluster), async address checking may also be disabled in

a FMC via configuration programming. Thus, all async packet traffic over the bus of one hub will also appear on the bus of the other hub.

The general purpose async ports of a FMC support hardware flow control using DTR and CTS. When a FMC wishes to transmit over a general purpose async port and hardware flow control is enabled for that port, the FMC only transmits as long as it sees CTS asserted. A loss of CTS during transmission causes the port to cease transmission until CTS reappears. Thus, the device connected to an FMC async port can throttle FMC data transmission for that port by asserting and deasserting CTS.

The FMC can also throttle a device which is sending it data over a general purpose async port assuming that an appropriate cable is used and the device pays attention to the CTS signal. When the FMC determines that its receive buffer for the port is nearly full, it deasserts DTR to inform the device connected to the port of the condition. Assuming a cable which connects the port's DTR signal to the device's CTS signal, the device will see a loss of CTS. This should cause the device to cease transmission until the FMC asserts DTR to indicate that more data can be accepted.

During configuration programming of the FMC, async flow control using DTR and CTS can be enabled or disabled on a per port basis. If DTR/CTS flow control is not desired, FMC general purpose async ports can be configured for XON/XOFF flow control or flow control can be disabled altogether.

To deal with the situation in which a FMC is connected to an async data source which is transmitting data much faster than the device connected to the destination FMC can accept it, an async flow control mechanism is also implemented between FMCs. An FMC can send flow control packets to throttle the transmission of async data packets by the FMC conn cted to the source of the async data. Flow control can be asserted on a per async port basis so that the fl w of async packets f r ther async ports is unaffect d.

The FMC supports 16 interrupt lines: eight input lines and eight output lines. The lin s all w an int rrupt pulse generated

by a node or device in one MCS cluster to be passed, in effect, across the MCS network to a node or device in another cluster. The pulse enters the FMC in the originating cluster via an input interrupt line, is passed across the MCS network as a packet and leaves the FMC in the destination cluster via an output interrupt line. As with async data, this is designed in such a way that the node need not be aware that the interrupt pulse is travelling over a high speed serial link and not actually directly connected.

An overview of interrupt passing for a FOMCS configuration is shown in Figure 19. The process involved in passing an interrupt across FOMCS is very similar to that used to pass async data. The FMC detects the pulse on the input interrupt line and constructs a special interrupt packet which is transmitted over the high speed link. The interrupt packet contains an address which facilitates routing through the FOMCS network. At the destination FMC, the packet is decoded and the address is used to determine which interrupt line should be pulsed.

As in the async case, the address in an interrupt packet consists of two fields. The destination cluster field identifies the cluster to which the packet is to be routed. The destination line field indicates which output interrupt line is to be pulsed. As with async packets, the destination FMC validates the cluster field of a received interrupt packet and discards the packet if the destination cluster does not match the local cluster address.

The FMC maintains a list of eight interrupt line addresses, one for each input interrupt line. When the FMC detects a pulse on one of the input interrupt lines, it looks up the line address and includes it in the packet sent over the high speed link. Thus, there is a static connection between each input interrupt line of a FMC and an output line of a FMC in some other cluster. The contents f the list of interrupt line addresses are established during configuration programming of the FMC.

In a FOMCS hub, th FMCs are programmed to operate in pass-thr ugh mode v ry similar t that described earlier for async data. Interrupt packets r ceiv d over high speed link are

distributed over the MC bus to the other FMCs in the hub. Each FMC in the hub receives the interrupt line address from the MC bus and compares the cluster field to the address of the cluster at the remote end of its high speed link. If a match occurs, the FMC builds and transmits an interrupt packet over the high speed link.

The remote cluster address used by a FMC in the hub to perform the routing function just mentioned is established during configuration programming. Interrupt address checking can also be disabled in a FMC to accommodate the situation where hubs are directly connected. Since the interrupt lines of a FMC in a hub are not connected and are ignored by the FMC, no input interrupt address list can be programmed.

One channel of a Signetics 68681 DUART on the FMC is used to provide support for the MCS-II multidrop console link. (The other channel of this DUART is used for the configuration programming link.) The FMC behaves basically as a gateway to allow console traffic to flow between nodes in separate MCS-II clusters. In each cluster, the FMC intercepts messages intended for remote nodes and transmits them as packets to the FMCs in the appropriate clusters. The FMCs receiving the packets decode them and send the messages to the destination nodes. The fact that this is happening is essentially transparent to the nodes. An example of a FOMCS network linking clusters of MCS-II nodes appears in Figure 20.

In the MCS-II multidrop console environment, one of the drops acts as the master and all others are slaves. Only the master can initiate message exchanges on the multidrop link; a slave can only transmit after receiving a poll message from the master. The FMC in a cluster assumes that there is a local master in the cluster. When the FMC receives a message addressed to a remote node, it transmits the message as one or more packets over its high speed serial link; when the FMC receives ne r more packets from the high speed link containing a message from a remote node, it buffers th message until it is poll d by the master. Then, the FMC transmits the saved message over the local multidr p link. Note that a cluster FMC's slave address on the multidrop link is its MC

bus node id.

The handling of multidrop message data in the FOMCS network is basically the same as that for general purpose async data and interrupts. Multidrop data is routed through the FOMCS network in special packets. Each multidrop data packet contains a destination cluster address (which is validated by the destination FMC) and part of a message. Unlike interrupt packets or packets of general purpose async data, multidrop message packets also contain a source cluster address. The receiving FMC uses the source address to segregate pieces of messages from different clusters into different buffers.

The source cluster address which a node inserts into a message is supplied by the FMC in the cluster. The multidrop master periodically sends a request-cluster-address message to the FMC which causes it to broadcast a response message containing the cluster address to all nodes in the cluster. This cluster address is the address established during configuration programming via the Define Cluster Address command. Note that in a MCS-II cluster where there is no FMC to supply the source cluste. communication between nodes is unaffected because the source cluster address field is not included in messages exchanged by nodes in the same cluster.

In FOMCS configurations where a hub is present, multidrop data is routed from the receiving FMC to the other FMCs in the hub via the hub MC bus. Packets are then built and transmitted from FMCs in the hub to the FMCs in the destination clusters. As with general purpose async and interrupts, a FMC in the hub looks at the destination cluster address tc determine if it should build and transmit a packet over its high speed link. If the destination cluster matches the address of the cluster connected to the FMC's serial data link, the FMC f rwards the multidr p data.

The MCS-II multidrop broadcast capability is also support d by FOMCS. When the FMC in a cluster receives a m ssage ver the local multidrop link that is t be broadcast t all nod s, it sends the message across its high speed link in packets which have a

broadcast flag set. FMCs in a hub will always forward such packets regardless of the contents of the destination cluster field. All cluster FMCs receiving the broadcast message will transmit it over their local multidrop links when polled by the local masters.

During configuration programming, a cluster FMC is programmed with a list of remote cluster addresses. When multidrop support is enabled, the FMC intercepts any message on the multidrop link which is addressed to a node in one of the clusters in the list. The local multidrop master can obtain the list from the FMC by sending it an inquiry message. The FMC responds by encoding the list into a remote-cluster-list message which it sends over the multidrop link.

The FMC supports an optional configuration which allows automatic failover to a secondary high speed serial link should the primary link go down. This high availability feature is illustrated in Figure 21.

The secondary FMCs in each cluster monitor the health of the primary FMCs. Each secondary FMC is configured for the same MC bus node id as the primary it monitors but the secondaries do not interact on the MC bus as long as the primaries are healthy. In other words, all memory write traffic between the clusters goes over the primary high speed link until a failure occurs. Likewise, all other types of packet traffic (i.e., async, interrupt, etc.) go over the primary link until a failure is detected.

To keep the secondary FMCs informed as to their health, the primaries periodically send their respective secondaries an "I'm okay" indication via a health-check link. The UART of a Motorola 68901 MFP on the FMC is used to implement the health-check link. The primaries also periodically exchange test packets to determine if the primary link is still functioning.

If a secondary FMC does not receive an "I'm kay" indication within a specifi d tim period since th last indication or if the secondary receiv s a link failure indication from th primary, the sec ndary initiates the fail-over process by: 1) forcing the primary FMC to cease operation, and 2) sending a failure-detected

packet to the secondary in the remote cluster. The remote secondary FMC then forces its primary FMC to cease operation. The remote secondary then sends a fail-over-complete packet back to the secondary which detected the failure. Subsequent communication between the clusters occurs over the secondary high speed link.

A secondary FMC forces a primary to cease operation by asserting a special additional signal which is included in the health-check link cable connecting the primary and secondary. When asserted this signal places the primary FMC into a hardware-controlled offline state. In this state, all I/O interfaces on the FMC are disabled so that the FMC is unable to assert any signals on the MC bus, transmit over the high speed link, transmit over any of its async links or pulse any of its output interrupt lines. The effect is identical to that achieved by putting the FMC online/offline switch into the offline position.

The (old) primary FMC is held in this offline state until the secondary FMC (which is the new primary) is reset via a power cycle, hardware reset or a received Reset command.

While the old primary FMC is being held in this offline state, it monitors the health-check link (if it is operational enough to do so) for the receipt of "I'm okay" characters from the new primary. If the old primary receives such characters, it reconfigures itself to assume the secondary role. Thus, when an attempt is made to return the old primary FMC to an online state, it behaves as a secondary and remains in a (firmware-controlled) offline state. This prevents the old primary from contending with the new primary on the MC bus.

The fail-over process can also be initiated manually via the configuration programming link. To cause a fail-over, a command is sent over the programming link to one of the secondary FMCs. The fail-over proceeds as described above and when it is complete, a response indicating such is returned via the programming link.

While the previous discussion relates to high availability of the high speed link between clusters, the high availability

feature can be extended to the hub in a FOMCS star network. High availability in a hub is achieved by having a secondary FMC for each primary FMC in the hub. Thus, each cluster is connected to the hub by two high speed links, a primary and a secondary. Fail-over to a secondary link is essentially the same as the cluster to cluster case.

Note that to really achieve high availability in a cluster, nodes which have async or interrupt connections to the primary FMC must have a redundant set of connections to the secondary. When the secondary FMC takes over, the nodes must switch over to the secondary async and interrupt connections. To facilitate this process, one of the output interrupt lines of a secondary FMC can be used to inform the node(s) that fail-over has occurred. During configuration programming of a secondary FMC, an output interrupt line can be designated for this purpose.

The fail-over process is not automatically reversible. Once the secondary FMCs have taken over, they become in effect the primaries. When the previous primary FMCs and/or high speed link are repaired, they must be programmed to behave as the secondaries (if they have no already reconfigured themselves as secondaries).

Configuration of a FMC as a primary or secondary occurs during configuration programming. The maximum time period that a secondary will allow between "I'm okay" indications from the primary is also established. The high availability feature can also be disabled altogether.

Another usage of the microprocessor is to allow diagnosis of an individual FMC and/or high speed serial link without bringing the entire MCS network offline. This capability is particularly useful in the star network environment where it is undesirable to shut down the entire hub just to diagnose a problem between the hub and a particular cluster. If the problem turns ut to be the high sp ed link r the FMC in th cluster, it can be c rrected with ut taking down th entire hub. Of c urse, s ftware resynchronizati n will probably be necessary between the affected cluster and the other clusters it was c mmunicating with but the

rest of the clusters connected to the hub can continue to communicate without interruption.

Special operational modes, referred to as hub diagnostic and cluster diagnostic modes, are available to allow the FMC to be isolated from the high speed coax or fiber link for testing purposes. In these modes, the FMC operation is identical to normal hub or cluster mode except that the output of the Gazelle Hot Rod Tx chip is directly connected to the input of the Rx chip. To configure the FMC to operate in hub of cluster diagnostic mode, the Set FMC Online/Offline command used to place the FMC in a firmware-controlled offline state. Then, the desired mode is selected via the Set Operational Mode command and the FMC is returned to an online state by means of a second Set FMC Online/Offline command.

Individual functional areas of the FMC can be tested by sending Specify Diagnostic Loopback Data commands to the FMC. Variations of the Specify Diagnostic Loopback Data command can be used to invoke memory write transfer loopback, async data loopback and interrupt loopback. A FMC will only accept such commands when in the firmware-controlled offline state.

The FMC supports three diagnostic loopback modes for memory write transfers: internal, external and MC bus loopback. Internal loopback loops data through the FMC from the MC bus input latch to the MC bus output latch. External loopback tests the same path except that the data is actually transmitted over the high speed serial link and looped back via an external loopback cable connection. Internal loopback mode is shown in Figure 22. External loopback mode is shown in Figure 23. MC bus loopback loops data from the MC bus output latch to the MC bus input latch via the MC bus. This loopback mode is illustrated in Figure 24.

Note that when internal or ext rnal loopback is performed, the FMC hit/translati n RAM must als be programmed appropriat ly t achiev th d sired effect. Lo pback m des can be used to sp cifically test r fl ction region r address translation logic r the hit/translation RAM can be programmed such that all r gi ns

are reflected and address translation does not actually do anything (i.e., the original address and the translated address are the same).

In internal loopback mode, the MC bus interface of the FMC is disabled and the Gazelle Hot Rod chips are configured such that the serial output of the transmitter chip is connected directly to the serial input of the receiver chip. The Specify Diagnostic Loopback Data command which initiated the loopback also contains an address and data pattern to be looped through the FMC hardware. The FMC inserts the address and data pattern into the MC bus input latch. The address and data proceed through the Tx path and at the end of the Tx path are transmitted serially in a packet. The Packet is looped back through the Rx path and the address and data end up in the MC bus output latch. The FMC removes the address and data from the latch and includes them in the response sent back via the programming link.

In external loopback mode, the MC bus interface of the FMC is disabled. Again, the Specify Diagnostic Loopback Data command which initiated the loopback contains an address and data pattern to be looped through the FMC hardware and the external loopback connection. The FMC inserts the address and data pattern into the MC bus input latch. The address and data proceed through the Tx path and at the end of the Tx path are transmitted serially in a packet. The packet is looped through the external loopback connection, received again by the FMC and moves through the Rx path to the MC bus output latch. A response is sent back via the programming link containing the address and data pattern read from the latch.

In MC bus loopback mode, the high speed serial link interface is disabled and the MC bus interface of the FMC is enabled but functions somewhat differ ntly from normal. T perform the MC bus loopback, the FMC inserts the address and data pattern from the Specify Diagnostic Lo pback Data command int th MC bus output latch. The FMC MC bus interface hardware then requests the bus and when it receiv s a grant, gen rates a transfer on the MC bus with

the data valid signal deasserted. This causes any nodes on the bus to ignore the transfer. The FMC MC bus interface hardware, however, is configured to receive its own transfer. The looped data is read from the MC bus input latch and returned in the response sent back via the programming link.

The FMC supports three diagnostic loopback modes for general purpose async data: internal, external, and port-port. The three varieties are illustrated in Figure 25. The FMC performs the requested async loopback as the result of a Specify Diagnostic Loopback Data command received over the configuration programming link.

The Specify Diagnostic Loopback Data command informs the FMC as to which async port(s) will participate in the loopback test and provides the data to be looped. If an async port is selected for internal loopback, the FMC initializes the port hardware to wrap transmitted data back to the receive side of the port. The FMC then causes the port to transmit the data. If the port is functioning correctly, the FMC immediately receives the data back again. The received data is passed back over the programming link in the command response. If no data is received, the FMC returns a response indicating such.

External loopback requires that a loopback plug be connected to the port which wraps transmitted data back to the receive pin of the port. The FMC initializes the port hardware for normal operation and transmits the data via the specified port. Again, the data should be immediately received from the same port. The response to the command contains the received data or indicates failure of the loopback operation.

Port-port loopback requires that a RS-232 cable connect the two selected ports. The FMC initializes the ports for normal peration and transmits the data via the port specified in the Specify Diagnostic Lo pback Data command. Th resp nse to the c mmand contains the data r ceived from the other port r indicates failure of th loopback operati n.

The FMC supp rts xternal lo pback f r the interrupt lines.

A Specify Diagnostic Loopback Data command to the FMC selects a pair of interrupt lines that will participate in the loopback, one input and one output. Note that loopback requires that a wire connect the selected interrupt lines. To perform the loopback, the FMC generates a pulse on the output line of the pair and reports in its command response whether or not a pulse was detected on the input line.

The FMC supports two diagnostic loopback modes for the MCS-II multidrop console link: internal and external. Internal loopback is performed exactly as with the general purpose async ports. External loopback is functionally identical to the method used with the general purpose async ports, but because of the unique nature of the multidrop link, no external loopback cable is required. However, the external loopback test will drive the multidrop link. Therefore, if testing is desired without bringing the entire multidrop link offline, the MCS cable which carries the multidrop link should be unplugged from the FMC chassis backplane prior to initiating diagnostic tests and replaced when testing is concluded.

The FMC supports two diagnostic loopback modes for the high availability health-check link: internal and external. Both modes are performed in the same manner as with the general purpose async ports.

Each packet transferred across the high speed serial link in a FOMCS configuration contains an error detection code (EDC) which allows the receiving FMC to determine if an error occurred during transmission. Packets received with good EDC arc also checked for illegal or illogical bit settings. Parity is checked for transfers received across the MC bus and transfers received with good parity are also checked for illegal or illogical bit settings.

For packet errors and transfer errors detected by the receiving FMC, the FOMCS philos phy is t rep rt the error to the node(s) in the nearest cluster rather than r porting the rror to all nod s in the network. N attempt is mad t handle rr rs in memory write transf r packets differently from errors detected in other types of pack ts because once an rror is detected in a

packet, the entire contents of the packet are suspect including the packet type field.

The method of reporting errors is illustrated in Figures 26 and 27. When a FMC in a cluster detects an error in a packet received over the high speed link or detects an error in a MC bus transfer, it reports the error to the node(s) in the cluster by: 1) forcing a parity error on the MC bus and/or 2) directly interrupting the node(s). To force a parity error, the FMC arbitrates for the MC bus and when granted access, generates a transfer where the parity driven on the bus does not match the address and data. This causes the MC port of the each node to detect a transfer with bad parity and (hopefully) report it to the node via a parity error interrupt. The direct interrupt approach utilizes one or more of the FMC's eight output interrupt lines.

When a FMC in a hub detects an error in a transfer received over the hub MC bus or detects an error in a packet received over its high speed link, it builds an error packet and transmits it to the FMC at the other end of the high speed link. Receipt of the error packet causes the FMC in the cluster to report the error as described earlier. Note that whether or not the FMC in the cluster receives the packet correctly, an error will be reported.

To assist with diagnosis of packet transmission or MC bus transfer errors, the FMC keeps a copy of the last bad packet (if any) and the last bad MC bus transfer (if any) that it received. These copies can be accessed at any time via the configuration programming link.

During configuration programming, the error reporting behavior of a FMC in a cluster is established. The FMC can be programmed to report errors by generating MC bus parity errors and/or by generating interrupts. If the interrupt approach is selected, ne or m re utput interrupt lin s of the FMC can be programmed as outputs f r error signals. Each of the selected lines can be further qualified as to when th line is pulsed: 1) when a bad MC bus transfer is det ct d (r when an err r packet is received indicating that the remote FMC detected a bad transfer),

2) when a bad packet is received over the high speed link (or when an error packet is received indicating that the remote FMC detected a bad packet), or 3) when either a bad transfer or a bad packet is detected.

Although the present invention has been shown and described with reference to preferred embodiments, changes and modifications are possible to those skilled in the art which do not depart from the spirit and contemplation of the inventive concepts taught herein. Such are deemed to fall within the purview of the invention as claimed.

We claim:

1. A system comprising:

a first and second set of plurality of nodes;

a first data bus associated with and connecting said first set of plurality of nodes;

a second data bus associated with and connecting said second set of plurality of nodes;

each node of said sets of plurality of nodes including a processing unit, a memory, a bus coupled to the processing unit and memory, and a sensor means for sensing a write to the memory and for transmitting the sensed write on said associated data bus;

first converter means connected to the first data bus for converting data on said first data bus to corresponding optical signals and received optical signals to corresponding data for transmission on said first data bus;

second converter means connected to the second data bus for converting data on said second data bus to corresponding optical signals and received optical signals to corresponding data for transmission on said second data bus; and

fiber optic means for optically transmitting data from one converter means to the other converter means.

2. The system of claim 1 wherein each said converter means includes conversion means for converting parallel data to serial optical signals and vice versa.

3. The system of claim 1 wherein each said converter means includes a FIFO for temporarily storing data.

4. The system of claim 1 wherein each node further includes I/O means for introducing I/O data into memory and wherein said sensor means responsive to a write to memory of I/O data transmits same on said ass ciated data bus.

5. A system for connecting memory coupled systems, comprising:

a plurality of nodes;

a first data bus connecting a first group of said plurality of nodes;

a second data bus connecting a second group of said plurality of nodes;

first converter means connected to the first data bus;

second converter means connected to the second data bus;

first fiber optic means for carrying transmitting data from the first converter means to the second converter means;

second fiber optic means for carrying transmitted data from the second converter means to the first converter means;

the first and second converter means each comprising:

input latch means for receiving data from a respective data bus;

hit and translation RAM means connected to the input latch means for determining the destination of data received from the data bus;

first micro-interface means connected to the input latch means for controlling the hit and translation RAM means;

transmission FIFO means connected to the input latch means for latching the data received from the data bus;

error detection means for determining if an error exists in the data in the transmission FIFO means;

first and second transmission latches connected to the transmission FIFO means;

transmitter means connected to the first and second transmission latches for transmitting the data to another converter means;

receiver means for receiving data transmitted from another converter means;

first and second receiver latches for latching the received data;

error detection means connected to the first and s cond latch means for ch cking if an error exists in the received data;

second micro interface means for testing the receiv d

data if the check by the error detection means fails;

receive FIFO means connected to the first and second receive latch means for holding the received data after checking by the error detection means;

output latch means for transmitting the received data to the respective data bus.

6. A system as claimed in Claim 5, further comprising first and second backup controllers for transmitting data between memory coupled systems upon a determination the first and second controllers are not working properly.

7. A system as claimed in Claim 6, wherein said input, output, transmit and receive latches of each of said plurality of controllers are able to be accessed in both a parallel and serial fashion.

8. A system as claimed in claim 1, wherein data is transmitted through the optical fiber means in 80 bit data frames.

9. A method comprising the steps of:

establishing first and second data links;

establishing first and second sets of nodes, each node including a processing unit, a memory, a bus coupled to the processing unit and memory and a sensing means for sensing a write to memory;

sensing a write to a memory in one of said nodes of said first set;

transmitting said sensed write on said first data link; and

sensing the sensed write transmitted on said first data link and optically transmitting same to a rem te point whereupon it is transmitted on said second data link t the memory of one of the nodes of said second set without intervention of the processing unit of said one node of said second set.

10. The method of claim 9 comprising the further steps of:

writing I/O data from an I/O source into the memory of a node in one of the sets of nodes;

sensing the written I/O data and transmitting same on the data link associated with said node; and

optically transmitting the written I/O data to a memory in a node of the other sets of nodes via its associated data link.


N1
N2
N3
N4
N5
N6
N7
N8
6
MEMORY COUPLING BUS 5
CONTROLLER
1
2
3
4
FIBER OPTIC CABLES

FIG. 1


MC BUS
5
RX DATA PATH
7
TX DATA PATH
8
OUTPUT LATCH
9
10
INPUT LATCH
12
MICRO INTERFACE
HIT AND TRANSLATION RAM
1
11
RX FIFO
14
TX FIFO
EDC
13
MICRO INTERFACE
EDC
16
LATCH
LATCH
15
17
LATCH
LATCH
18
20
19
RECEIVER
TRANSMITTER
22
HIGH SPEED SERIAL LINK
4
4

FIG.2



FIG.3



FIG.4



FIG.5



FIG.6



FIG.7



FIG.8


TRANSMITTER
D0-
D39
CLK
STROBE
22
40
18
DCLK
SI
TX
LATCH
OE
D
MODE
SO
Q
20
1
23
MICRO INTERFACE
LATCH
8
32
16a
16b
SC0-
SC7
EDC
DO-
D31
CB0-7
Q3 MICRO
FIFO HF
25
2
3
CONTROL LOGIC
CONTROL BUSY
14
TX
FIFO
W
FE
R
DATA
FLAGS
ADDRESS
Rx FIFO HF
MICRO
LATCH
LOAD

FIG.9



FIG.10


SO
D
30
29
MUX
PIPELINE REGISTER
Q
SHADOW REGISTER
31
SI
DCLK
MODE
OE

FIG.11



FIG.12



FIG.13



FIG.14


MS 40 BITS
LS 40 BITS
ASYNC DATA
SOURCE CLUSTER
DESTINATION CLUSTER
BYTE COUNT
EDC
SOM EOM BRD
BSD
BSC

FIG.15



FIG.16



FIG.17



* NOT CONNECTED

FIG.18



FIG.19



FIG.20



FIG.21



FIG.22



FIG.23



FIG.24


CONFIGURATION PROGRAMMING SERIAL LINK
MCS–II MULTIDROP CONSOLE LINK
MC BUS
GENERAL PURPOSE ASYNC LINK (1 OF 8)
INTERRUPT LINES
8
8
FMC
PORT H/W
HIGH SPEED SERIAL LINK

FIG.25a



FIG.25b



FIG.25c



FIG.26



FIG.27



FIG.28



FIG.29

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US93/02839

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(5) :G06F 13/00
US CL :395/200

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 395/325,395/575,395/500

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

Please See Extra Sheet.

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y,P	IEEE Network, May 1992, Vetter et al., "Network Supercomputing", p.38-44.	1-4,8,5-7
Y	IEEE, 1992, McFarland et al., "HP's Link Interface Chipset Lu Serial HIPPI", p.229-233	1-4,8,5-7
Y	US,A, 4,466,063 (Segawa et al) 14 August 1984 See the entire document	1-10
Y	US,A, 4,430,699 (Segawa et al) 02 February 1984 See the abstract	1-10

[X] Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. [ ] See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance

"E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search
11 MAY 1993

Date of mailing of the international search report
07 JUL 1993

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. NOT APPLICABLE

Authorized officer
D. DINH

Telephone No. (703) 305-9600

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)(July 1992)*

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US93/02839

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim N .
Y	US,A, 4,269,221 (Lippmann et al) 06 September 1988 See Fig. 1	1-10
A	US,A, 4,935,894 (Ternes et al) 19 June 1990 See the entire document	1-10
A	US,A, 4,342,083 (Freedman et al) 27 July 1982 See the entire doucment	1-10
A	US,A, 4,396,995 (GRAM) 02 AUGUST 1983 See the entire document	1-10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US93/02839

B. FIELDS SEARCHED
Electronic data bases consulted (Name of data base and where practicable terms used):

(FILE 'USPAT' ENTERED AT 11:01)
L1 7 S 4396995/UREF
L2 510 S 395/200/CCLS
L3 24433 S MEMORY (P) WRITE#
L4 175 S L3 AND L2
L5 192573 S OPTIC?
L6 25 S L5 AND L4

Pro Quert (IEEE CD ROM) - "HIPPI"